

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/053,926	01/22/2002	Douglas J. Hanchett	1831	4108		
35157	7590 03/24/2005		EXAMINER			
NATIONAL STARCH AND CHEMICAL COMPANY			CORBIN, ARTHUR L			
P.O. BOX 6500 BRIDGEWATER, NJ 08807-3300			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		1761				
				DATE MAILED: 02/24/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

<u></u>				
Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/053,926	HANCHETT ET AL.	HANCHETT ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Arthur L Corbin	1761			

	Examiner	Artonit			
	Arthur L Corbin	1761			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATI	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWA	NCE.			
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amend condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appearmentation (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	a Notice of Appeal. To avoid aband Iment, affidavit, or other evidence, val fee) in compliance with 37 CFR are reply must be filed within one of t	donment of this applic which places the appl 41.31; or (3) a Reque	cation, applicant ication in st for Continued		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A		in the final rejection, wh	ichever is later In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.		
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		12C(a) and the annual			
have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri	iate extension fee ce action: or (2) as		
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of App	eal but prior to the date of filing an	anneal brief. The Not	ice of Anneal		
was filed on <u>14 March 2005</u> . A brief in compliance with 3 Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension the of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the AMENDMENTS	7 CFR 41.37 must be filed within tweereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dis	vo months of the date smissal of the appeal	of filing the		
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief	will not be entered b	ecalise		
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO	TE below);	coausc		
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be 		ducina or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or			ille issues ioi		
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.			
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mnliant Amendment	(PTOL-324)		
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		mphant Amendment	(1 TOL-324).		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: None.	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ wi vided below or appended.	ll be entered and an e	explanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .					
Claim(s) rejected: <u>9-17 and 19-27.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affiday	it or other evidence is	necessary and		
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under apper y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	ls to provide a		
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application is	n condition for allower	nce because:		
Eden et al(table @ bottom of col.8) discloses applicant's al as a result of using the sago starch disclosed therein.	s claimed WF values, and gel stren, which is a viable alternative to the	gth is inherenly increated corn starch (col. 6, lin	ased in Eden et		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	2		
13. Other:					
		ARTHURL			
		PRIMARY E			
		3-18	-05		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office